IB.	-ER	-1	1-	Ω1

SC NEPA Tracking Number

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF SCIENCE

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION NOTIFICATION FORM

To be completed by "financial assistance award" organization receiving Federal funding. For assistance (including a point of contact), see "instructions for Preparing SC F-560, Environmental Evaluation Notification Form".

Soli	citatio	on/Award No. (if applicable):						
Org	aniza	tion Name: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL)						
Title	of P	roposed Project/Research: LEASE OF SPACE AND THE RELOCATION OF THE OFFIC CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER (OCFO)	CE OF T	HE				
Tota	l DO	E Funding/Total Project Funding: Up to \$6,500,000						
l.	Pro	pject Description (use additional pages as necessary):						
	A.	Proposed Project/Action (delineate Federally funded/Non-Federally funded portions)						
The proposed action would execute an up to five year lease of space at 6401 Hollis Street, Emeryvi California, which would be improved to accommodate the relocation of up to 200 OCFO staff. Appr 31,000 square feet of interior office/vacant space would be reconfigured into office/office support sp modifications may also be made to the mechanical, electrical, HVAC, and communications systems the usa of the facility.								
		Mission Need: OCFO's existing office spaces are primarily located in trailers outside of Buildings 90 and 71. were erected as temporary structures in the 1970s and are scheduled to be demolished.	These tra	iler s				
•	В.	Would the project proceed without Federal funding?	Yes	No ⊠				
		If "yes", describe the impact to the scope:						
II.	Des	scription of Affected Environment: Building is located in a developed area of Emeryville with easy access to a major highway, put LBNL shuttle bus system. LBNL use of the building is similar to existing use. Only minor modi required to the interior or exterior of the building.	olic transit fications :	, and are				
II.	<u>Pre</u>	liminary Questions:		Al.,				
	A.	Is the DOE-fuπded work entirely a "paper study"?	Yes	No ⊠				
		If "Yes", ensure that the description in Section I reflects this and go directly to Section V.						
	B.	Would the work to be performed include work that would take place outside existing buildings? Outside scope would be the employee commute And:	⊠					

	•	Page 2 01 0	- U I		
1/05) Previous editions are obsolete.		see obsolete. SC NEPA	SC NEPA Tracking Number		
	1.	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requiremen environment, safety, and health?	ts for		\boxtimes
	2.	Require the siting, construction or major expansion of waste treatment, stora disposal facilities?	ige, or		\boxtimes
	3.	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants preexisting in the environment?	•		\boxtimes
	4.	Adversely affect environmentally-sensitive resources identified in Section IV.	A.?	П	\boxtimes
	5.	Be connected to another existing/proposed activity that could potentially creacumulatively significant impact?		Ĩ	\boxtimes
	6.	Have an inherent <i>possibility</i> for high consequence impacts to human health of environment (e.g., Biosafety Level 3–4 laboratories, activities involving high			\boxtimes

If "No" to Question III.B. and ALL six subsequent questions, ensure the descriptions in Sections I and II reflect this and go directly to Section V.

IV. Potential Environmental Effects:

rediction)?

Attach/insert an explanation for each "Yes" response.

A.	Sensi	tive Resources: Would the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to an rees?	y of the fo	ollowing
	1.	 	Yes	No
	2	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats Other Pertodice Species (e.g., Purson, Migreton, Pints)	片	
	3.	Other Protected Species (e.g., Burros, Migratory Birds)	片	凝
	3. 4.	Sensitive Environments (e.g., Tundra/Coral Reefs/Rain Forests)	님	X
	4,	Archaeological/Historic Resources The building was extensively remodeled by the commercial owner in 2000 and there would there be no potential for impact to historical properties.	Ц	ızı
	5.	Important Farmland		X
	6.	Non-Attainment Areas for Ambient Air Quality Standards	Ħ	\boxtimes
		LBNL is in Bay Area Air Quality Basin, which is in federal non-attainment for Ozone and state non-attainment for ozone, PM10, and PM2.5. However, operational		
		impacts would be well below significance thresholds and would not be cumulatively considerable contributions, and construction impacts would be sufficiently mitigated		
		by adherence to Bay Area Air Quality Management District construction practices.		
	7,	Class I Air Quality Control Region		\boxtimes
	8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)		
	9.	Navigable Air Space		図
	10.	Coastal Zones		図
	11.	Areas with Special National Designation (e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)		図
	12.	Floodplains and Wetlands		\boxtimes
В.	Regul activit	ated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regu	lated item	s or
	acuvii	<u> </u>	Yes	· Na
	13.	Natural Resource Damage Assessments	162	No
	14.	Exotic Organisms	H	絽
	15.	Noxious Weeds	H	崗
	16.	Clearing or Excavation (Indicate if greater than one acre)	H	
	17.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act, Section 404, indicate if greater than ten	片	岗
		acres)		
3.	Regul	ated Substances/Activities: Would the proposed action involve any of the following regu	ated Item	ns or
		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes	No
	18.	Noise (in excess of regulations)	Π̈́	ΙXΪ
	19.	Asbestos Removal	Ħ	岗
	20.	PCB's	Π̈́	

محربه م	· ·	Page 3 of 5		
(11/05) Previ	ous editions ar		Number	
	21.	Import, Manufacture, or Processing of Toxic Substances		[2]
	22.	Chemical Storage/Use	Ħ	
	23.	Pesticide Use	버	₽
	24.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions	 	凝
	25.		×	×
	25.	Liquid Effluents	بكا	
		The existing bathrooms would not be modified.		_
	26.	Underground Injection		\boxtimes
	27.	Hazardous Waste		\boxtimes
	28.	Underground Storage Tanks		X
	29.	Radioactive Mixed Waste		茵
	30.	Radioactive Waste	i i	茵
	31.	Radiation Exposure		
	32.	Surface Water Protection	Ħ	X
	33.	Pollution Prevention Act	Ħ	Ħ
	34.	Ozone Depleting Substances	H	Ħ
	35.	Off-Road Vehicles	Ħ	×
	36.		片	
	30.	Biosafety Level 3-4 Laboratory	u	
C.	Other	Relevant Information: Would the proposed action Involve the following?		
•	31101	role and mornaton. Fround the proposed action involve the following r	Yes	No
	37.	Potential Violation of Environment, Safety, or Health Regulations/Permits		Ι
	38.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or Waste Treatment,		\boxtimes
	50 .	Storage, or Disposal Facilities	니	Z)
	39.		~	
		Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	\vdash	<u> </u>
	40.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits	\sqsubseteq	Ϫ
	41	Public Controversy		Ϫ
	42.	Environmental Justice		
	43.	Action/Involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. ticense, funding, approval)		\boxtimes
	44.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law. The State Environmental	\boxtimes	
		Quality Review Act does apply.		
	45 .	Public Utilities/Services		Ø
		Minor amounts of water and electricity would be consumed. No adverse impacts to		_
		existing services.		
	46.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource		X
	47.	Extraordinary Circumstances	Ħ	X
		Connected Actions	H	Ħ
	49.	Bench-top Research	. N/	, KA
	50.	Only an Office Setting No new permits required.		`
				L2
/. <u>М</u> 8	O Cont	ract Organization Concurrence:		
A.	Organiz	zation Official (Name and Title): Jeff Phililiber, LBNL Environmental Planner		
		Signature:	•	
		/S/ Date	e: <u>2-</u>	16-11
	e-mail:	igphilliber@ibl.gov Phone:	***************************************	
В.	Ontion	al Concurrence (Name and Title):		
G.	Chrois			
		Signature:		
	e-mail:	Date	a; ,	
	G-Ittali.	Frione.		
emainde	er to be	completed by SC		
1. <u>SC</u>	Concurre	ence/Recommendation/Determination:		
	SC BSC	•		
A.	SC BSC	ė.		
	Federal	Project Director or Contract Rick Chapman		
	Special	ist (Name and Title):		
	-peoles	Signature:		
		் பிரம்பு		

SC NEPA Tracking Number

				/s/	Date:	2/15/11
₿.	SC NEPA Team		Rick.chapm	an@bso.science.doe.go	<u>v</u> .	
	is the project/act Organization by	ivity appropriate for a det the NEPA Compliance Of	ermination or fficer (NCO) u	a recommendation to the nder Subpart D of the D0	Head of the Fi	ield lations?
	,	Yes 🛛		No 🗆		
	Specific classes	of action from Appendice	s A-D to Subp	art D (10 CFR 1021): A1	, A7, B1.24, an	d B2.1
	Name and Title: Signature:	Kim Abbott NEPA Prog	ram Manager			
	e-mail:	/S/ kim.abbott@bso.scienc	e.doe.gov		Date:	2/15/2011
C.	SC ISC Counsel	(if necessary):				
	Name and Title: Signature:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
D.	e-mail: SC ISC Field Offi	ce NEPA Compliance Off	ficer:		Date:	<u> </u>
The 102	preceding pages a	are a record of document	tation required	under DOE Final NEPA	Regulation, 10	CFR
X	Action may baction meets	oe categorically excluded the requirements for Car	from further N tegorical Excl	IEPA review. I have detusion referenced above.	ermined that th	e proposed
□ .	Action requir Environment	res approval by Head of that Assessment.	he Field Orga	nization. Recommend pr	reparation of ar	1
	Action require preparation of	res approval by Head of the fan Environmental Impa	he Field Orga oct Statement.	nization or a Secretarial	Officer. Recom	mend
Com	ments/Limitations	if necessary:				
	Name	James L. Elmo	re			
Sign	ature:	/s/		:	Date:	2/16/11
	P ⊕ O	RO NEPA Compliance O	Officer			

